UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,868	02/23/2004	Enrique Travieso	074869-0015	9488
	10/784,868 02/23/2004 Enrique Travieso		EXAMINER	
600 13TH STREET, N.W.			AL HASHEMI, SANA A	
WASHINGTOR	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2169	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	10/784,868	TRAVIESO ET AL.	
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sana Al-Hashemi	2169	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Sana Al-Hashemi</u> .	(3) <u>Enrique Travieso</u> .		
(2) <u>Stephen A. Baker</u> .	(4) <u>Qain Huang</u> .		
Date of Interview: <u>11 February 2009</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant's representati</mark> ve	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u></u> No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>57, 72, and 88</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Lakritz US Patent No.</u> 6	3,523,426 in view of Kraft US	Patent No.2004/	<u>0128616</u> .
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued the Lakapplication as disclosed in Paragraphs 8, and 9. Examiner combination of more than one art and the argument should argument should be directed to the claim language. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ADTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW CASTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON REVERSE Side or on attached sheet.	disagreed. And point out that disagreed. And point out that disagreed. And point out that disagreed to the combination disagreed to	the motivation on the rejection base on of applied art and reed would rend would render the E SUBSTANCE (or been filed, APP of DAYS FROM 1) WHICHEVER IS	er the claims claims DF THE PLICANT IS FHIS FLATER, TO
/Sana Al-Hashemi/			

Application No.

Applicant(s)